

The Office Action

Claims 1-7 and 10-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Murkami in view of Motorola 1 or Motorola 2, or in the alternative, as being unpatentable over Kormos, in view of Frelburger, further in view of Motorola 1 or Motorola 2.

Claims 2-6 stand allowed.

Claims 15-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Frelburger.

Claims 19-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kormos in view of Frelburger, further in view of Motorola 1 or Motorola 2.

Thus, all rejected claims stand rejected on one or more of Frelburger, Motorola 1, or Motorola 2.

The Present Response

The applicants are enclosing herewith a Rule 131 Declaration which swears behind the Frelburger reference, Motorola 1, and Motorola 2, removing these items as references against the claims. Because each of the Examiner's rejections rely on one or more of the sworn behind references, it is submitted that all current rejections must fall.

The applicants have blacked-out the date of conception and the date of first disclosure to others on Exhibit A to the Rule 131 Declaration. The date which this document was witnessed is adequate proof that the invention was made prior to the effective date of Frelburger, Motorola 1, or Motorola 2.